PURGE ACT OF 2026

Sponsor Cover Letter

Formal transmittal from Anazao Solutions — signed Jade Moore

Prepared by Anazao Solutions LLC · admin@anazaosolutions.com

Operations, lobbying, and policy tracking for the public sector.

Sponsor Cover Letter — PURGE Act of 2026

Jade Moore
Anazao Solutions LLC
Star, Idaho
admin@anazaosolutions.com
anazaosolutions.com

The Honorable [Member Name]
[Office Address]
United States [House of Representatives / Senate]
Washington, DC 20515

Re: The Predator Unmasking, Restitution, and Government-wide Exposure Act of 2026 ("PURGE Act") — bill text, section-by-section analysis, and offer of unconditional drafting support

Dear [Representative / Senator] [Name]:

This letter accompanies the full bill text, section-by-section constitutional analysis, sponsor one-pager, and press packet for the **Predator Unmasking, Restitution, and Government-wide Exposure Act of 2026** — the "PURGE Act."

The bill is the enforcement layer the Epstein Files Transparency Act (Pub. L. 119-38) should have included. EFTA passed 427–1 in November 2025. Seven months later, by the Department of Justice's own count, less than one percent of records were released by the statutory deadline. The Department has withdrawn and re-redacted files already in the public record. The Inspector General opened a compliance audit in April 2026. National security counsel has publicly observed that Congress did not incorporate any enforcement mechanism into EFTA. There is no private right of action. There is no accountability standard for officials who obstruct compliance. There is no Special Counsel trigger.

The PURGE Act addresses each of those gaps and extends accountability into four additional domains: federal officeholder disclosure, co-conspirator investigation reporting, asset clawback and victim restitution, and victim notification reform.

What is enclosed

1. **PURGE Act — House version (full bill text).** Drafted in Office of Legislative Counsel style. Five titles, with severability and a 90-day effective date.
2. **PURGE Act — Senate companion (full bill text).** Mirrored for the Senate.
3. **Section-by-section analysis with constitutional review.** Each section carries plain-English summary, legal authority, constitutional analysis, leading precedents, and implementation notes.
4. **Sponsor one-pager.** A single-page summary for circulation.
5. **Press release.** Three-option subhead, full background, quotation slots.

6. **Outreach plan, opening emails, and Idaho meeting playbook.** Operational support for sponsor offices in any state.
7. **EFTA-vs-PURGE comparison.** Side-by-side accountability gap analysis.

Three design choices the sponsor's office should know about

First, no new criminal felony and no monetary fine. The bill assesses zero dollars against the Department of Justice and zero dollars against any individual official. The consequence regime in § 107 operates instead through removal from office under the President's Article II authority (deemed for cause), a ten-year disqualification from any federal office or contractor role, pension forfeiture modeled on the Hiss Act (5 U.S.C. §§ 8311–8322), and mandatory referral to the Office of Professional Responsibility, the official's State bar, and any other licensing authority. Contempt of Congress under 2 U.S.C. § 192 and existing false-statement and obstruction statutes are preserved without amendment. The drafting choice was deliberate: monetary penalties create an Eighth Amendment Excessive Fines theory available to defendant officials; a new federal felony creates a Fifth Amendment vagueness theory and a separation-of-powers theory rooted in *Morrison v. Olson*. By foreclosing both, the bill narrows the litigation surface to ordinary administrative-law and civil-disqualification doctrines that have been repeatedly upheld.

Second, the bill protects DOJ line attorneys. Section 109 prohibits retaliation against any officer, employee, contractor, or detailee who in good faith reports a violation of Title I, refuses to participate in conduct the individual reasonably believes violates Title I, or provides testimony or documents to oversight bodies. Internal dissent through ordinary supervisory channels is protected. This provision was added in response to the documented pattern of line attorneys being instructed to apply redactions outside permissible EFTA categories.

Third, the asset framework cannot be evaded. Section 402A freezes all § 402 property on the date of enactment, requires every fiduciary to file a sworn complete inventory in the Southern District of New York within sixty days, caps non-luxury living expenses at the State median household income, and prohibits any use of restrained property for luxury goods, real estate, vehicles, political contributions, lobbying, or charitable contributions during the freeze. Critically, § 402A bars any subject of the bill from selling, licensing, syndicating, or commercializing any depiction, account, image, likeness, or information about the conduct that is the subject of this Act. Any consideration received in violation of the no-profit clause becomes property of the United States by operation of law and is deposited in the § 403 Victim Restitution Fund.

Three ways to engage

The bill is sponsor-ready. The bill text, section-by-section analysis, and supporting documents are in standard Office of Legislative Counsel format. We invite the office to consider one of three levels of engagement:

1. **Lead sponsorship** of the House or Senate companion. We can deliver finalized introduction text within five business days of confirmation.
2. **Co-sponsorship** of either chamber's bill at introduction.

3. **A written request** to the relevant committee chair (House or Senate Judiciary) for a hearing on EFTA implementation failures and the case for enforcement legislation. All three options remain available regardless of committee assignment.

Open license — no attribution required

The bill text, section-by-section analysis, and all supporting documents are released under an open license. They are free for any Member of Congress, congressional staffer, journalist, advocacy organization, survivor coalition, or member of the public to copy, modify, translate, redistribute, or reuse — in whole or in part — in any introduced bill, committee report, press piece, organizing document, or public communication, with or without attribution. Once the bill is introduced in either chamber, the bill text enters the public domain under 17 U.S.C. § 105 / § 403. **Anazao Solutions LLC seeks no credit, no compensation, and no acknowledgment.** The objective is enactment.

On Anazao Solutions

Anazao Solutions LLC is a public-sector operations and lobbying firm based in Star, Idaho, founded by Jade Moore. The firm's policy practice tracks federal and state legislative compliance, drafts technical legislation in support of constituent and survivor coalitions, and provides operational coordination for community-led campaigns. We do not seek a sponsorship retainer for the PURGE Act, and we will not accept one. The bill is constituent work, released into the public domain at introduction.

If the office would find a briefing useful, I can provide one in person in [State] or virtually at any time. I can be reached at admin@anazaosolutions.com.

Thank you for your consideration. The victims of the Epstein-Maxwell trafficking network have waited two decades for a federal accountability framework that turns disclosure into consequence. EFTA was the first step. The PURGE Act is the second.

Respectfully,

Jade Moore
Founder, Anazao Solutions LLC
Star, Idaho

Enclosures: PURGE Act House text · PURGE Act Senate companion · Section-by-section constitutional analysis · Sponsor one-pager · Press release · EFTA-vs-PURGE comparison · Outreach plan · Opening emails · Idaho meeting playbook.